

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,254	07/22/2003	George E. Kim	UC1.PAU.22	7355	
	7590 11/27/200' ES ANDRAS & SHER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
19900 MACAR	THUR BLVD.,		AUSTIN, AARON		
SUITE 1150 IRVINE, CA 92	2612		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,			1794		
4	•		MAIL DATE	- DELIVERY MODE	
	•	•	11/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/624,254	KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aaron S. Austin	1794	

	Aaron S. Austin	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shee	et with the corresp	pondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing ving replies: (1) an ame tice of Appeal (with ap	a Notice of Appea endment, affidavit, peal fee) in compli	al. To avoid aba or other evider ance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dvisory Action, or (2) the ater than SIX MONTHS fr (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (l) 06.07(f). on which the petition und tension and the corresports than three months after the ater than three months at the ater than the ater	om the mailing date of the book of the book of the book of the book of the formally so the formally so	of the final rejecti T REPLY WAS F and the appropria ee. The appropri et in the final Offi	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid	l dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belor (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the content o	nsideration and/or sear w); ter form for appeal by r corresponding number	rch (see NOTE bel	ow); g or simplifying	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	21 See attached Notic	·		•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			ntered and an e	explanation of
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons wh	y the affidavit or of	ther evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections y and was not earlier p n of the status of the cl	under appeal and/ resented. See 37 laims after entry is	or appellant fai CFR 41.33(d)(below or attach	Is to provide a l).
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: 	·		JOHN J. ZI PRIMARY	MMERMAN EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 25 and 27 have been amended to include the term "consisting essentially of" rather than "consisting substantially of". As such, the scope of these claims has changed. Of particular note, the transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention. MPEP 2111.03 [R-3], In re Herz, 537 F.2d 549, 551-52, 190 USPQ 461, 463 (CCPA 1976) (emphasis in original). As such, the claims are essentially the same as those filed 12/18/06. As the scope of the claims has changed, and as the scope in fact has broadened to essentially be the claims filed 12/18/06 outside of materials that materially affect the basic and novel characteristics taught, the proposed amendments do not place the case in condition for allowance. In view of the non-entry of the proposed amendments to the claims, applicant's arguments are not commensurate with limitations of the pending claims.